Case 3:18-cr-00043-K INDUMENTAL STATICS DISTRICT COURT 1 of 1 PageID 485 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | § | |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| | § | |
| VS. | § | CASE NO.: 3:18-CR-043-K (04) |
| | § | |
| RANDY LAMARK HAMMOND | § | |

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY

RANDY LAMARK HAMMOND, by consent, under authority of <u>United States v. Dees</u>, 125 F.3d 261 (5th Cir. 1997), has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 4 and 7 of the 9 Count Superseding Indictment, filed on March 27, 2018. After cautioning and examining Defendant Randy Lamark Hammond, under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was knowledgeable and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defendant Randy Lamark Hammond, be adjudged guilty of (Count 1) Conspiracy to Interfere With Commerce by Robbery, in violation of 18 USC § 1951(a), and (Counts 4 and 7) Interference With Commerce by Robbery, in violation of 18 USC § 1951(a) and 2, and have sentence imposed accordingly. After being found guilty of the offense by the district judge,

| Consp Interf | oiracy to Terence V | be accepted, and that Defendant Randy Lamark Hammond , be adjudged guilty of (Count 1) Interfere With Commerce by Robbery, in violation of 18 USC § 1951(a), and (Counts 4 and 7) With Commerce by Robbery, in violation of 18 USC § 1951(a) and 2, and have sentence imposed after being found guilty of the offense by the district judge, | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | The de | fendant is currently in custody and should be ordered to remain in custody. | |
| | and co | e defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1) unless the Court finds by clear convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the munity if released. | |
| | | The Government does not oppose release. The defendant has been compliant with the current conditions of release. I find by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c). | |
| | | The Government opposes release. The defendant has not been compliant with the conditions of release. If the Court accepts this recommendation, this matter should be set for hearing upon motion of the Government. | |
| | is a sub recommend shown convin | e defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) unless (1)(a) the Court finds there a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted, or (b) the Government has commended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed, or (c) exceptional circumstances are clearly own under § 3145(c) why the defendant should not be detained, and (2) the Court finds by clear and avincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the mmunity if released. RENEE HARRIS TOLIVER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |

NOTICE

Failure to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days from the date of its service shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking such Report and Recommendation before the assigned United States District Judge. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B).